

PLANNING COMMITTEE
8 February 2021

**SUMMARY OF ADDITIONAL CORRESPONDENCE RECEIVED SINCE THE
PUBLICATION OF THE AGENDA AND ERRATA**

Item No. 8/2(a) **Page No.** 8

Third Party: THREE letters of **OBJECTION** have been received. The expressed concerns can be summarised as follows:

- It will neither improve nor enhance the village.
- It will do nothing to maintain the pleasant environment of the village.
- It will bring no benefit to the village.
- It will bring more traffic to the narrow lanes of the village and begin the downward trend to becoming a dumping ground for any eyesore not wanted elsewhere.
- This can only be detrimental for the village and there are numerous industrial estates around King's Lynn ideally suited for this enterprise.
- It could prove to be a very dangerous situation. The volume of traffic through our village has increased tenfold in the past few years. Having extra lorries, and or cars, in competition with farm machinery and horses in Church Lane, plus walkers and dog walkers will be so dangerous.
- There is another entry to the site but again you have the same problem.
- There are other places these containers can be placed.
- We all wish for a 30mph sign because the traffic is too fast through our village.
- Not all of the containers on site have been painted a neutral colour (green).

CORRECTION

P10 Case Summary states there are currently 32 containers present on site but this should state "there are 37 containers currently on site out of the 38 total number proposed".

Additional condition

In order to secure the painting of the containers, a condition will be recommended as follows.

9. Condition: Within two months of the date of this decision, all containers on site shall be painted green and retained as such thereafter.

9. Reason: In the interests of visual amenities, in accordance with the provisions of the NPPF.

Item No. 8/2(b) **Page No.** 25

Agent: Submitted additional information for proposed traffic numbers.

Existing – 1 large vehicle per day for collections/drop-offs

Proposed – 2 large vehicles per week for collections/drop offs (as they will be able to fill the vehicle to capacity instead of being half full as per existing arrangements).

Also confirms that the application for JKN Engineering Ltd has now been submitted.

Third Party: ONE letter of **OBJECTION** regarding the following (summarised):

- The Local Highway Authority has missed the safety issues regarding the site and quotes the following “The site being considered does retain a use class that allows commercial ventures and therefore it can generate traffic in its own right”. The problem with the statement is that access to the building was from the Petrol Station and not Regent Avenue. There is no drop down kerb and never has been in Regent Avenue.
- The new build states it will have parking and turning, which in the objectors’ opinion is not sufficient turning for everyday delivery vans and lorries. There is no gas main in Regent Avenue so oil needs to be delivered normally in large lorries. Queries whether they will be able to turn around or reverse onto the A10.
- An application for a single bungalow with allocation for passing vehicles may have been a better option.

CORRECTION: P 7 Item 8/2(c) should read NORTH RUNCTON rather than West Winch Parish.